

The Seven Deadly Sins of Business Valuation:
Closely Held & Family Controlled Companies

Robert M. Clinger III and Paul Morin

Copyright © 2006 by Robert M. Clinger III and Paul Morin.

Library of Congress Control Number:		2006903262
ISBN:	Hardcover	1-4257-1319-X
	Softcover	1-4257-1318-1

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the copyright owner.

This book was printed in the United States of America.

To order additional copies of this book, contact:

Xlibris Corporation

1-888-795-4274

www.Xlibris.com

Orders@Xlibris.com

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	7
About Highland Global.....	9
I: Introduction.....	11
II: Risk & Return Characteristics of a Closely Held or Family Controlled Company.....	26
III: The First Deadly Sin—Incorrect Adjustments to the Financial Statements.....	40
IV: The Second Deadly Sin—Ungrounded Earnings Forecasts.....	51
V: The Third Deadly Sin—Mismatching the Discount (Capitalization) Rate and Economic Benefit or Income Stream	60
VI: The Fourth Deadly Sin—Specific Company Risk Premia Estimation.....	68
VII: The Fifth Deadly Sin—Inability to Replicate the Valuation.....	82
VIII: The Sixth Deadly Sin—Incorrect Value Conclusion Based on Standard of Value	87
IX: The Seventh Deadly Sin—Inappropriate Discount Selection.....	91
X: Case Study #1	106
XI: Case Study #2	111
XII: Case Study #3	117
XIII: Annual Valuations for Closely Held or Family Controlled Businesses.....	120
XIV: Conclusion.....	124
XV: International Glossary of Business Valuation Terms.....	130
About the Authors.....	139

Acknowledgements

Mike McGrann of the Telos Group provided insights as we worked through presenting the 7 Deadly Sins of Business Valuation in the context of the closely held or family controlled enterprise. We are thankful to Mike for the valuable input and guidance he provided.

We also would like to thank our clients, past and present, for allowing us the opportunity to refine our approach to valuation and to understand the numerous issues and challenges that company owners must overcome on a daily basis.

About Highland Global

Highland Global, LLC is a leading strategic advisory and financial analysis firm for domestic and international closely held and family controlled businesses. Some of Highland Global's services include assisting clients with mergers and acquisitions, sales and divestitures, recapitalizations, management buyouts, and privatizations.

Highland Global also provides a full range of valuation and financial analysis services including formal valuations, limited scope valuations, fairness opinions, and expert witness/consulting services. Highland Global performs independent business appraisals and valuations for a wide range of purposes including family limited partnerships, succession planning, gift taxes/planning, estate taxes/planning, mergers & acquisitions, employee stock ownership plans (ESOPs), financing/capital raising, divorce, shareholder issues, and litigation. Highland Global's goal is to provide accurate, objective, and reliable valuations and financial analysis based on widely-accepted, well-documented methodologies.

Highland Global is the founding member of the Global Business Valuation Association (GBVA), a membership organization of business appraisal professionals, formed to encourage cooperation and sharing of ideas, creation of intellectual property to further the profession and contribute to the body of knowledge, and technical support on complex engagements. GBVA's mission is to foster excellence in the profession and to provide value-added services and research to members. GBVA strives to further the valuation profession through continual research, education, and contributions to the body of knowledge. For further information on Highland Global and GBVA, please visit our websites at www.highlandglobal.com or www.businessvaluationassociation.com or e-mail us at info@highlandglobal.com.

I

Introduction

Some of the oldest and most respected closely held and family controlled businesses throughout the world have been family enterprises for decades or more, with each successive generation building upon the efforts of their ancestors and furthering the traditions of their founders. Global companies such as Samsung, LG Group, Carrefour, Fiat Group, Cargill, Mars, Ford, Walmart, and Peugeot Group are all closely held and family controlled enterprises with revenues of as much as \$250 billion. Throughout the world, closely held and family controlled firms dominate the business and economic landscape. In Australia, for example, two-thirds of all private sector firms are family firms. Roughly 70% of businesses in Brazil are family owned or controlled. In Chile, up to 90% of all firms are thought to be family owned or controlled. Nearly 80% of all firms in Finland are family owned or controlled, and over 75% of all businesses in the United Kingdom also are closely held or family controlled enterprises¹.

In the United States alone, up to 90% of all business enterprises are family owned firms, and approximately one third of the Fortune 500 companies are family controlled. These family businesses in the United States contribute roughly two-thirds of gross domestic product (GDP), or approximately \$5 trillion, and employ over half of the workforce. Generally, these family controlled firms remain under the purview of descendants of the original founders, as in the case of Ford and Koch Industries. In fact, approximately 85% of family firms that have an active succession plan have indicated that the successor to the current leadership will be another family member².

Though many family companies have succeeded, many have also failed or have been consumed by multinational corporations over the years. It is estimated that only 30% of all family owned businesses survive into the second generation of family ownership. Less than 12% survive into the third generation, and roughly 3% succeed into the fourth generation of family ownership or beyond³. Those closely held and family controlled firms, domestic and international in scope, that have succeeded over the long run have likely exhibited seven attributes common to firms that have been successful in creating transgenerational wealth. These seven key characteristics

of closely held and family controlled businesses that define a firm's ability to create transgenerational wealth include the following:

1. **Vision**—The executive management must develop and promote a compelling vision that creates excitement and passion among the entire management team and the shareholders. In many cases, management may be committed to a set of values that has been entrenched in the organization by the founders and successive generations. The vision must consider where the business is going in the future and how wealth is to be created within the firm for the shareholders or family. A strong vision that inspires passion among the managers and owners may create a competitive advantage for the company.

However, many closely held and family controlled companies have not discussed their vision for the company and have not developed a corporate mission statement. A company's vision and mission statement are integral in understanding the future direction of the company and all that the company seeks to accomplish. The lack of a clear vision statement to guide the overall actions of management and their goals can increase the risk that the firm's management may stray from its focus in the future. A company without a vision and mission statement could be likened to a commercial airliner without the navigational equipment it needs to make it safely to its destination. In some more extreme cases, there may actually be no stated mission or "destination" for the company.

2. **Professionalization of Management Team**—In professionalizing the corporate management team, the shareholders, executive management, and Board of Directors of a family business must determine what kind of leadership will define the company. One popular but difficult approach to achieve is participatory leadership, which is characterized by a commitment to success, inclusion and transparency. Participatory leadership fosters good relations between the executive management and the shareholders and family members. While this form of leadership works well in some situations, it is not a silver bullet and must be used selectively.

The professionalization of the family owned business management team also indicates the willingness of the shareholders or family to bring in outside professionals, as necessary, to fill key management positions. This approach fosters the creation of a meritocracy within the firm and includes formal budgets and accountability for family members and non-family members. Those closely held and family controlled firms (and some publicly traded companies, such as Adelphia) that are almost exclusively controlled by the family members likely bear a higher degree of risk than firms that have hired outsiders to participate in the management and Board oversight of the firm. Firms controlled exclusively by family members are sometimes run as personal piggybanks, with management and the family members raiding the bank

accounts of the firm to cover their personal expenses, and more generally, taking a short-term view of the success of the firm.

Those firms most successful in creating transgenerational wealth have often selectively brought outsiders into the executive management and Board of Directors. These outsiders typically bring a different perspective on corporate governance and administration of the firm and help to create a better system for accountability in creating wealth and moving the company in the direction in which the founders and family have established.

3. **Professionalization of Ownership**—The most successful family businesses have typically developed a long-term ownership plan for the transfer of wealth from one generation to the next. Besides having an ownership plan in place, professionalization of the owner group also includes regular family meetings where the current status of the company and the future direction of the company and its ownership are discussed. Developing a long-term ownership plan enables the family business to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the owners. Those firms that fail to professionalize the ownership process often suffer from careless or no planning for the future, which may tend to destroy value in the firm rather than create value and wealth over the long term.
4. **Effective Communication**—To be successful, a closely held or family owned business must develop a “how we talk to each other” policy. An open communication policy enables management and shareholders to discuss all issues and helps to limit conflict and the festering of feelings of disrespect between management and the shareholders/family. Effective communication is crucial to successfully managing a closely held business, where the managers often must balance the interests of the management team in seeking to create value and optimize the interests of the family members or shareholders (whose interests may not be perfectly aligned with those of the management). Effective communication creates goodwill between the executive management and the family/shareholders, resulting in “relationship” capital between the executive management and the shareholders, which reduces the firm’s cost of capital. The communication process must, however, flow in several directions between the Board, management, and the family/shareholders and will usually facilitate resolution of issues when various parties do not completely agree. Effective communication is possible if the proper structures have been put in place and helps to make all of the other communicating parties work together more smoothly.
5. **Corporate Governance**—Successful family controlled and closely held businesses must develop a functioning Board of Directors and must seek to avoid having a family controlled Board that is willing to “rubber stamp” the initiatives of the family (or one powerful segment of the family) without respect to the best long-term interests of the company. A functioning Board of Directors must seek to support the executive management team while

simultaneously ensuring that the best long-term interests of the family and all shareholders are being considered. In order to do so, the Board must require accountability of the management team. Successful family businesses have realized that one key to success is to carefully manage the interaction between the family/shareholders, the executive management, and the Board of Directors through an open communication policy. Strong corporate governance and effective communication form the basis for relationship capital—the goodwill between the shareholders and the management of the company. Unified shareholders typically enjoy positive goodwill and relationship capital, which lowers riskiness and therefore the cost of capital of the firm. Dissention between the shareholders and the management, however, results in a not-so-subtle drain on the future prospects and the value of the company.

Management and shareholders should also create structures necessary for a successful transition to successive generations of shareholders and managers. This likely involves extensive family involvement and participation by an effective and proactive Board of Directors. In addition, specific rules for economic benefit should be clearly established so that certain shareholders/family members are not disadvantaged as a result of the action of those in management control of the company. Effective corporate governance typically leads to a higher value for a company and greater wealth creation.

6. **Succession Plan**—The most successful and historic family owned businesses have effectively planned for leadership succession from one generation to the next. In the absence of a clear succession plan, a family or closely held business may suffer from increased and difficult to manage hostility between shareholders when the time arises for a transition of power from the older generation to the younger generation. This infighting often reduces the value of the firm and increases the risk that the dissention may distract the management of the company from following the company's vision, meeting the performance goals, and planning for the future. In addition to a clear succession plan, a successful family business must develop well-constructed and reasonable buy-sell agreements that provide for transfer of ownership in a systematic and predetermined manner that avoids additional conflict between shareholders.
7. **Strategic Plan**—A key to effective management of any closely held or family controlled enterprise is taking a view of the firm based on the resources it has at its disposal. For a family business, this usually also involves recognizing the family as a resource and managing it as part of transgenerational wealth creation. Succession planning is about transitioning family and firm resources. Failure to transition leads to a competitive disadvantage and may result in an inefficient use of resources. This could ultimately have an adverse impact upon the current value of the firm and its ability to create wealth over the long term.

To ensure the long term success of a family owned business, the owners and management must develop a comprehensive strategic plan, which includes an operating plan, a financial plan, and a marketing plan, at a minimum. This strategic plan must be tied back to the budgets and accountability mentioned above. A thoughtfully formulated budget and accountability help to constrain indiscriminate spending by family or other key shareholders, management, or Board members. A family business without a strategic plan would likely have a higher degree of risk that the firm would stray from its vision and mission, perhaps following a path that would destroy value for the shareholders. In addition, the strategic plan sets out the financial performance goals for the firm and clarifies whether the firm is to be run as a lifestyle business or as a growth enterprise that reinvests profits into future opportunities and initiatives, in order to create transgenerational wealth.

Among many family enterprises there is no sense of urgency with respect to creating wealth. In such cases, it is particularly important that the executive management develop a strategic plan with the participation of the family and define expectations for future financial performance. In addition, there should be clear guidelines for measuring, tracking, and reporting the financial performance and value created by the firm.

There are a number of ways to assess a family business's progress in creating transgenerational wealth. The most typical manner includes annual valuations of the firm, in order to establish the wealth being created by the executive management team through execution of the strategic plan. The valuation process forces the family/shareholders of a closely held or family controlled company to discuss the issue of creating value for the firm and its shareholders, as opposed to "just" making money. Making money could be defined as the ability of a firm to generate excess cash flows over the expenditures necessary to generate revenues for the firm, in order for the firm to continue as a viable operation. Creating wealth, on the other hand, could be defined as the ability of a firm to systematically increase the value of the stockholders' equity by carefully managing the profits for reinvestment in the firm's growth, with a long-term approach and planning process and with the interests of future generations taken into careful consideration.

Creating long term value or transgenerational wealth is an issue that is highly relevant to the family business planning process. Ignoring this issue or taking a short-term money making approach may threaten the long-term viability of the firm and its ability to create wealth for future generations. Open discussion of this issue is a test of the family's ability to effectively communicate on various family business topics, such as where value may be hidden in the company and how that value may be extracted through execution of the company's strategic plan. In a larger sense, the family business's focus on either making money or creating wealth provides insight on how the shareholders view the firm. Proactive management that

develops clearly defined strategic goals and has stringent accountability is conducive to driving value and creating long-term transgenerational wealth. As compared to the successful family focused on transgenerational wealth creation, a lifestyle firm is generally operated as a piggybank for the shareholders and family members and seeks merely to make money in the short term. Typically, the lifestyle firm does not excel at the seven attributes of successful family firms as previously discussed.

A valuation of a closely held or family controlled business, whether it is international or domestic, helps to quickly reveal the motivations of the management and the shareholders in the administration of the company. In particular, annual valuations of the company will reveal the success or failure of the management in meeting the strategic goals and creating value and wealth for the shareholders. There are, however, many challenges that a financial analyst may confront in valuing a closely held or family controlled business. Some of these challenges are the subject of this book.

Business Valuation

Just as with the process of running a company, the process of valuing a business or business interest requires a number of inputs, decisions, and assumptions by the valuation professional. These, in turn, give rise to the potential for serious errors by the valuation professional, which may result in a flawed value conclusion or estimate of value. In order to understand the potential impact of these “deadly sins”, it is first necessary to understand the basic premises of business valuation.

The International Glossary of Business Valuation Terms, developed jointly by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the American Society of Appraisers, the Canadian Institute of Chartered Business Valuators, the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts, and the Institute of Business Appraisers, defines valuation as

the act or process of determining the value of a business, business ownership interest, security, or intangible asset.

Valuations of businesses or other assets are necessitated by a variety of situations ranging from mergers and acquisitions to tax liability determination. Generally, valuations are necessary in three broad categories: litigation, tax matters, and transactions. Some of the most common instances when valuations are required include the following:

- Closely held and family controlled business owners seeking a merger or acquisition of their company need a professional, independent business valuation to determine the fair market value of the equity in their company in order to establish the minimum and expected price for negotiations.

- Dissenting shareholders' legal action may also require a valuation to ascertain whether the acquisition of minority shares is "fair". The premise of value is often a legally established standard of value determined by state law.
- Closely held and family controlled business owners must also have independent valuations to establish the fair market value of the business for tax purposes in the event they gift interests to their children or leave ownership interests to heirs upon their death. As part of a comprehensive estate planning process, these valuations are conducted and reported to the IRS annually in years when gifts of interests are made. These valuations also typically apply to interests in family limited partnerships that are used for estate planning purposes; the interest being valued in a family limited partnership is typically an interest in a non-operating entity that may hold a combination of financial assets (stocks & bonds), real estate, or interests in operating entities.
- Closely held and family controlled business owners seeking liquidity through a transaction may opt to establish an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP). By doing this, the owner achieves personal liquidity for their ownership interest while enabling the employees to retain ownership and control of the company. ESOPs are utilized many times for small, mature companies that have a relatively stable employee base. Federal law/Department of Labor regulations mandate that a valuation of the business be conducted upon formation of the ESOP and annually thereafter for reporting purposes.
- Marital dissolution often requires a valuation of a business for purposes of division of marital assets. Often times in marital dissolution, each side has a valuation prepared of the business or business interest subject to division. The standard of value is usually established by case law in each state. The court must then examine each valuation and the appraisers' credentials to determine which value estimate, if either, should be used.
- Shareholder buyouts, buy-sell agreements, and litigation between shareholders also require a valuation to determine the fair market value (or other standard of value established by state case law or the parties to the litigation) of the interest to be acquired. Once again, opposing valuations are usually the norm in these cases, with a judge or arbitrator making the final determination of value based upon the valuations presented by independent appraisers.
- Appraisers often provide valuations or fairness opinions to owners and shareholders of a business when there is a contemplated transaction involving the merger or acquisition of their firm. Unlike dissenting shareholder actions, these valuations are usually not part of litigation but are provided to owners for assistance in assessing the fairness of the contemplated transaction.
- Valuations may also be included as part of a start-up firm's business plan used for seeking equity or debt financing. Likewise, valuations may be required for valuing stock options in closely held businesses, for valuing intellectual property such as patents, trademarks, or copyrights, or for valuing economic

damages resulting from a variety of issues such as death/disability of a major executive, pollution, etc.

For many purposes including tax matters and a contemplated merger or acquisition, the most common standard of value is fair market value, which the IRS defines in Revenue Ruling 59-60 as:

. . . [T]he price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller when the former is not under any compulsion to buy and the latter is not under any compulsion to sell, both parties having reasonable knowledge of relevant facts . . . the hypothetical buyer and seller are assumed to be able, as well as willing, to trade and to be well informed about the property and concerning the market for such property.

Under the fair market value standard, the hypothetical buyer is assumed to be a purely financial buyer seeking a return on the investment. The financial buyer lacks synergies or strategic benefits associated with the transaction. As a result, the fair market value estimate is typically lower than the strategic value estimate, which is based upon the price that encompasses synergies or strategic benefits that could be obtained through the acquisition. Therefore, the price that a strategic buyer typically is willing to pay for the company is equal to the fair market value estimate plus the value of any synergies associated with an acquisition of the company⁴.

To arrive at the fair market value estimate, the appraiser must examine a number of factors associated with the company such as its history, financial condition, earnings capacity, dividends, industry and economic conditions, etc. The appraiser may also make adjustments to the financial statements of the closely held and family controlled company to remove any non-recurring items or perquisites to the owners. Adjustments are also made to remove any real estate owned by the company that should be appraised separately by a qualified real estate appraiser. These adjustments are made, when reliable estimates are available, to adjust book values to market values and to provide a value based on future earnings that would not include controlling decisions regarding discretionary expenses. These factors are then incorporated into the overall analysis of the company to determine the value drivers as well as specific company risk factors that may have an impact upon the value of the company.

The appraiser must then select the appropriate approaches and methods to apply to the company's specific conditions to derive an indication of value. The approaches that the appraiser must consider include:

- **Income Approach**—The Income Approach derives an indication of value based on the sum of the present value of expected economic benefits associated with the company. Under the Income Approach, the appraiser may select a multi-period discounted future income method or a single period capitalization method.

The capitalization method estimates the fair market value of a company by converting the future income stream into value by applying a capitalization rate incorporating a required rate of return for risk assumed by an investor along with a factor for future growth in the earnings stream being capitalized. This results in a value based on the present value of the future economic benefits that the buyer will receive through earnings, dividends, or cash flow. The capitalization method is based on the Gordon constant growth model that uses a single period proxy of future earnings to determine the present value of the asset. This method is usually employed when a company is expected to experience steady financial performance for the foreseeable future and when growth is expected to remain fairly constant.

Multi-period discounted future income methods involve discounting a projected possible future income stream on a year-by-year basis back to a present value using an appropriate discount rate that reflects the required rate of return on the investment (compensating for risk). For the final year of the projection period, the income stream that represents the expected income stream in perpetuity is capitalized to arrive at a terminal value, which is then discounted back to a present value (at the same discount rate) and added to the present value of the prior years' income streams to arrive at the indication of fair market value.

This method is most commonly used when the company is expected to experience a period of abnormal growth or when the growth rate for the near-term is anticipated to be significantly different from the long-term rate of growth⁵. This is predicated upon the ability to create a reasonable forecast of the company's income stream for the forecast period. If these conditions are satisfied, the multi-period discounted future income method may more reliably capture the value impacts of cyclicity or abnormal short-term factors impacting the company's results than a capitalization method.

- **Market Approach**—The market approach derives an indication of value by comparing the company to other similar companies that have been sold in the past. The guideline publicly traded company method uses the prices of similar and relevant public companies as guidelines for determining the value of a closely held and family controlled business. The direct market data method relies on transaction data of similar closely held and family controlled businesses to determine an indication of value.

The premise of the guideline publicly traded company method is based on the economic principle of substitution stating that one will not pay more for an asset than the amount at which they can acquire an equally desirable substitute. Revenue Ruling 59-60, as stated above, indicates that the market price of stocks in corporations having their shares actively traded in a free and open market can be an indication of value when the transactions in such freely traded companies are sufficiently similar to the company being valued to permit a meaningful comparison.

- Guideline company transactions in the open market may involve either minority or controlling interests in the guideline companies. To be sufficiently similar to the company being valued, however, the guideline company must exhibit similar factors such as:
 - Investment characteristics,
 - Business size,
 - Markets served,
 - Depth of management,
 - Probable future earnings expectations.

Relevant factors to be considered focus on the characteristics of the willing buyer and include:

- Risk tolerance,
- Liquidity,
- Degree of owner involvement in management of the company,
- Expected holding period.

The guideline publicly traded company method is appropriate when similar and relevant proxy companies may be identified and employed in estimating the value of a closely held and family controlled company.

The direct market data method develops an estimate of value for the subject company through use of transactional information on actual sales of a large sample of closely held and family controlled companies. Sources of transactional data may include databases such as The Institute of Business Appraisers (IBA) Transaction Database, Pratt's Stats, DoneDeals, Bizcomps⁶, etc. Though similar to the guideline publicly traded company method, there are several major differences that distinguish the two methods.

Under the guideline publicly traded company method, a small number of companies are selected as being similar to the company being valued. They and their stock prices are compared to the company being valued to arrive at a valuation estimate. The direct market data method, however, assumes that the sample of transactions for which market data is obtained represents the statistical population of the market for similar businesses as the one being valued. The company is then compared to the market based on its relative strengths and weaknesses with respect to financial condition, performance, etc. The market value of the company is then estimated based on the prices at which other companies have sold.

The direct market data method is usually employed when there is a large enough sample of transactional data of similar and relevant companies to develop a reliable indication of value.

- **Asset Approach**—The Asset Approach adjusts a company's assets and liabilities to their fair market values and adds to the Balance Sheet the value of intangible assets and any contingent liabilities. While tangible assets can be appraised and reported on an adjusted Balance Sheet accordingly, the valuation of intangible assets such as reputation, employee talent, etc. is more complicated.

One method of deriving an indication of value under the Asset Approach is the excess earnings method. Developed by the IRS and defined by Revenue Ruling 68-609, the excess earnings method is a hybrid of the Asset and Income Approaches. The method was designed to value the intangible assets of a company rather than for valuing the entire company itself. The excess earnings method requires the valuation of both tangible and intangible assets then deducting the company's liabilities in order to determine the fair market value of a company. Though the excess earnings method has been used in a variety of cases such as marital dissolution or economic damage cases, the use of the excess earnings method in valuing the equity of a company is not widely accepted by all valuation professionals.

Given that most valuations are typically conducted under the premise of a going concern, the appraiser may determine that the Asset Approach is inappropriate for determining an indication of value. However, the appraiser may test if the company is worth more in liquidation as opposed to as a going concern by utilizing an Asset Approach. In addition, the excess earnings method is often not employed as the rates of return are totally arbitrary and have no foundation.

After selecting the appropriate approaches and methods, the appraiser typically adjusts the value indications to reflect the relative lack of marketability of closely held and family controlled businesses as compared to liquid and readily marketable public counterparts. These values are then reconciled to provide an indication of value or an estimated value range for the company.

The Seven Deadly Sins of Business Valuation

Seven of the most common errors made by valuation professionals, which may lead to overvaluing or undervaluing the company, when conducting a business valuation include the following:

1. **Incorrect Adjustments to the Income Statement and Balance Sheet**—Given that the valuation of the business is usually a function of its earnings capacity and financial condition, incorrect adjustments to the Income Statement and Balance Sheet may produce an unreliable indication of value. Examples of errors with respect to adjustments to the Income Statement and Balance

Sheet include failure to remove real estate and associated expenses from the financial statements and failure to replace these with a market rate of rent. This also includes making adjustments that only a control owner could make when valuing a non-controlling or minority interest.

2. **Ungrounded Forecasting of Earnings**—Forecasting earnings is likely the most important aspect of the Income Approach to valuing a business, since the value is driven by the firm's anticipated future earnings. Using unrealistically high growth assumptions may result in an earnings stream that is overly optimistic and that produces an indication of value that is too high when discounted or capitalized. Likewise, inconsistent assumptions regarding capital expenditures and depreciation may result in forecasts under which fixed assets are depreciated at a rate faster than which they are replaced or actually depleted. This may also skew the value indication and discredit the entire valuation.
3. **Discount Rate & Income Stream Mismatch**—Capitalizing or discounting a net cash flow to equity income stream by the firm's weighted average cost of capital (WACC) would produce an unreliable indication of value. Likewise, using a net cash flow to equity rate to discount or capitalize net cash flow to invested capital results in an incorrect value. This mismatch of the discount (capitalization) rate and income stream is a glaring error in some business valuations. The income stream used as an indication of future economic performance must be capitalized or discounted at the appropriate rate—WACC for net cash flow to invested capital and the discount rate applicable to net cash flow to equity for the net cash flow to equity income stream.
4. **Specific Company Risk Premium Estimation**—The Specific Company Risk Premium is an important element in the development of an appropriate discount rate for a specific investment. This Specific Company Risk Premium reflects the risks associated with the particular business's operations, finances, industry position, etc. Whereas the equity risk premium and the small company size premium are based on empirical evidence (compiled by Ibbotson Associates), there is no empirical data on the Specific Company Risk Premium. Estimating this risk premium is at the sole discretion of the valuation professional and is typically based on the appraiser's experience and informed judgment. Unreasonable assumptions regarding the Specific Company Risk Premium may result in a discount rate that is too low or too high for the particular entity or asset being valued. In turn, this could lead to significant valuation errors when determining the value of a specific entity or asset.

In addition, family controlled businesses are subject to additional risks stemming from their commitment to the seven attributes of firms that have been successful in creating transgenerational wealth. Family controlled firms that excel in these characteristics likely carry a lower level of risk than those firms that do not display these characteristics, *ceteris paribus*. As with the

Specific Company Risk Premium, there is no empirical data on the size of the risk premium associated with these attributes. An arbitrary selection of a risk premium to account for this increased risk could lead to additional valuation errors in developing an indication of value.

5. **Inability to Replicate the Valuation**—It is crucial that the valuation professional's valuation be clearly written and fully explained so that the value estimate or conclusions may be replicated by a reader or third party that is not part of the valuation process. The failure to clearly delineate the reasoning, assumptions, and calculations made to arrive at an indication of value could lead a reader to believe that the values are arbitrary or that the appraiser engaged in “fuzzy math” to reach a specific value. A clearly written valuation report that carefully explains the entire process, including assumptions made, and that illustrates all calculations should withstand the harshest scrutiny, *ceteris paribus*.
6. **Incorrect Value Conclusion for the Standard of Value**—Upon engagement, the valuation professional identifies the standard of value that will be used in developing an indication of value. For tax purposes, the standard of value (as set forth by the IRS) is fair market value. For marital dissolution, state case law establishes the standard of value, which may be something other than fair market value. For transactional purposes, fair market value may be the standard, or strategic value may be used to determine the value to a specific acquirer. The valuation professional must ensure that the valuation process reaches a value conclusion consistent with the standard of value selected at the outset of the process.
7. **Application of Appropriate Discounts**—Once the valuation professional has arrived at indications of value using the selected approaches and methods, it may be appropriate to then apply discounts for lack of control and lack of marketability. Though there are a number of studies examining empirical data on implied discounts due to lack of control and discounts for lack of marketability, there is no formula or set of guidelines for determining the appropriate discount applicable to a specific investment or company. Therefore, the appraiser must once again use reasoned, informed judgment in determining the appropriate level of these discounts. Given that discounts for lack of control and marketability may range from 10%-50%, there is a great deal of latitude for applying discounts that are too high or too low, leading to potential overvaluation or undervaluation of the subject interest.

These Seven Deadly Sins are some of the most common errors made by valuation professionals when conducting business valuations. However, these are not the only errors that may be made. The valuation professional may be engaged to estimate the value of a 1% minority interest but value a 10% or 100% interest in the valuation report. This may be an oversight, but the error still renders the valuation

report meaningless for the specific engagement. Mathematical errors are also quite common and can have an adverse impact upon the credibility of the valuation and the valuation professional.

When valuing a S-corporation using the Income Approach, valuation professionals must determine whether tax affecting the corporation's earnings to reflect a corporate level of income tax (as opposed to just personal taxes for the S-corporation) is appropriate. It should be clear to see that tax affecting a S-corporation's earnings may be appropriate in circumstances where the most likely acquirer is a C-corporation, for example, or when the corporation's election as a S-corporation may be in question. Given that the issue of tax affecting is quite controversial at this time, with various valuation professionals taking different positions on the issue, whether this is currently a Deadly Sin with respect to valuation remains to be seen. However, it is worth noting that this may result in valuation issues if not applied appropriately.

Another common mistake involves utilizing inappropriate approaches and methods for valuation purposes. Valuation professionals must choose between the three approaches to valuing a business or interest therein: income, market, or Asset Approach. The fair market value standard assumes the value is on a going-concern basis. For a company that is mature but growing with no expectations for liquidation, an Asset Approach would be less appropriate than an Income Approach or a market approach. Likewise, for a non-controlling limited partnership interest in a non-operating entity that holds real estate and makes no distributions, an Asset Approach would be more appropriate than an Income Approach in determining an indication of value. The valuation professional must ensure that the approaches and methods selected are appropriate for the interest being valued if a reliable indication of value is to be estimated. However, if the valuation professional provides legitimate justification for the use of a method that may not seem appropriate, the damage from such an error may be mitigated.

Suffice to say, these errors, if made, may have a significant adverse impact upon the valuation of the firm and the credibility of the appraiser. A more thorough examination of these errors and their impact upon a valuation of a closely held or family owned business is necessary to more fully understand the ramifications of making such errors.

Notes

¹ Based on facts and figures obtained from the Family Firm Institute.

² "Boomers plan for their succession," Paul Sullivan, *The Financial Times*, January 17, 2006.

Raymond Institute/Mass Mutual, *American Family Business Survey*, 2003, as cited by Family Firm Institute.

- ³ Joseph Astrachan, editor, *Family Business Review*.
- ⁴ The appraisal provides an *estimate* of value, not a precise value. The actual price at which a transaction is consummated may be higher or lower than the fair market value estimate.
- ⁵ If a company's earnings are growing at the same rate in perpetuity, the discounted future income method equates to the Gordon constant growth model, the basis of the single period capitalization method.
- ⁶ For more information on these sources, visit the following websites:
 - The Institute of Business Appraisers, www.go-iba.org
 - Pratt's Stats, www.bvmarketdata.com
 - Bizcomps, www.bizcomps.com
 - DoneDeals, www.donedeals.com